

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,828	10/06/2000	Edward J.A. Pope	POPE#6(CIP)	2676
759	09/24/2002			
W. Edward Johansen			EXAMINER	
11661 San Vicente Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90049			MOORE, MA	RGARET G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	1712	9)
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1-))			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/680,828	POPE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
67	Margaret G. Moore	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 /</u>	<u>May 2002</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 49 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 49</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriiri c i.				
<u>-</u>	a priority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440	(a) (d) an (f)			
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i phonty under 35 0.5.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (i).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/680,828

Art Unit: 1712

1. Claims 1 to 48 provides for the use of a preceramic polymer, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1 to 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 2. In view of the indefinite nature of the claims, the Examiner is unable to ascertain what, exactly, is being claimed. As such she is unable to make a determination of novelty and/or obviousness for claims 1 to 48 over prior art at this time.
- 3. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by "for forming a photo-curable pre-ceramic polymer, poly(ethynyl)-carbosilane to silicon carbide ceramic". It would appear from this language that a silicon carbide ceramic is formed, but the steps do not include such a forming step.

4. Claim 49 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,403,750. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the photo-curable limitation claimed embraces the UV curable feature of claims 1 and 2 in 6,403,750. Note that the process steps per se are the same in claim 49 and claims 1 and 2 of 6,403,750.

- Application/Control Number: 09/680,828

Art Unit: 1712

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 703-308-4334. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Wed., Thurs. and Friday, 10am to 4pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret 6. Moore Primary Examiner

mgm September 21, 2002